

Appendix G

Air Quality and Climate

The logo for RPS, consisting of the letters 'RPS' in white, bold, sans-serif font, centered within a dark blue rectangular background.

Corrib Onshore Gas Pipeline

Air Quality and Climate Impact Assessment

(SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION TO
CHAPTER 8)

ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

The relevant Irish ambient air standards have been adopted from the European Commission Directives 96/62/EC, 1999/30/EC and 2000/69/EC and are cited as the Air Quality Standards Regulations⁽¹⁾, which came into force on 17th June 2002 (Irish Legislation S.I. No. 271 of 2002). These regulations are presented in Table 1.A1.

The Air Quality Standards Regulations specify limit values in ambient air for sulphur dioxide (SO₂), lead, benzene, particulate matter (PM₁₀) (Stage I) and carbon monoxide (CO), which came into effect on 1st January 2005. For nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) and oxides of nitrogen (NO_x), particulate matter (PM₁₀) (Stage II) and benzene the effective date is 1st January 2010.

The original Air Quality Directives have been replaced by one over-riding European Directive (2008/50/EC) in May 2008. The specified limits for the protection of human health and ecosystems that are currently regulated through S.I. 271 of 2002 remain unchanged and an additional annual “target value” for the smaller particulate fraction (PM_{2.5}) is included.

Directive 2001/81/EC on National Emission Ceilings for certain pollutants (NECs) sets upper limits for each Member State for the total emissions in 2010 of the four pollutants responsible for acidification, eutrophication and ground-level ozone pollution (SO₂, NO_x, VOCs and ammonia). In Ireland this Directive was transposed into Irish law through S.I. No. 10 of 2004⁽²⁾. Ireland is currently on schedule to achieve the targets for SO₂ and NH₃ and ahead of schedule for VOCs with a target date in 2010. However, Ireland is well behind the target for NO_x and are not predicted to achieve this target in 2010. These figures are prepared by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government and presented in the “National Programme for Ireland⁽³⁾ and presented in summary in Table 1A.1

There are no statutory limits for deposition of dusts and industry guidelines are typically employed to determine any impact. The TA Luft (German Government “Technical Instructions on Air Quality⁽⁴⁾) states a guideline of 350 mg/m²/day for the deposition of non-hazardous dusts. This value should be used to determine the impact of residual dust as an environmental nuisance.

There are no statutory limits for GHG Emissions covering gas pipeline construction and operation activities but reference is made to Ireland’s commitment to reduce greenhouse gases nationally. The National Kyoto Target for the first commitment period 2008 – 2012 sets the cap on GHG Emissions at 13% above 1990 levels, equivalent to 63 million tonnes of CO_{2eq}. The last official inventory report showed that National GHG Emissions were 12% over the target in 2006 (i.e. 25% above the 1990 levels).

AIR QUALITY LEGISLATION

Table 1A.1: Ambient Air Quality Limits as specified in S.I. 271 of 2002

Pollutant	Limit Type	Margin of Tolerance	Value
Nitrogen Dioxide	Hourly limit for protection of human health - not to be exceeded more than 18 times/year	50% until 2001 reducing linearly to 0% by 2010	200 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ NO_2
	Annual limit for protection of human health	50% until 2001 reducing linearly to 0% by 2010	40 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ NO_2
	Annual limit for protection of vegetation	None	30 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ $\text{NO} + \text{NO}_2$
Sulphur Dioxide	Hourly limit for protection of human health - not to be exceeded more than 24 times/year	43% until 2001 reducing linearly until 0% by 2005	350 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
	Daily limit for protection of human health - not to be exceeded more than 3 times/year	None	125 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
	Annual & Winter limit for the protection of ecosystems	None	20 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
Particulate Matter (PM_{10})	24-hour limit for protection of human health - not to be exceeded more than 35 times/year	50% until 2001 reducing linearly to 0% by 2005	50 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ PM_{10}
	Annual limit for protection of human health	20% until 2001 reducing linearly to 0% by 2005	40 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ PM_{10}
Benzene	Annual limit for protection of human health	100% until 2003 reducing linearly to 0% by 2010	5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
Carbon Monoxide	8-hour limit (on a rolling basis) for protection of human health	50% until 2003 reducing linearly to 0% by 2005	10 mg/m^3

Table 1A.2: National Emissions Ceilings and levels of targeted pollutants (in kilotonnes) as specified in S.I. No. 10 of 2004

Pollutant	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2010 Target
SO_2	130	100	78	72	70	42
NO_x	123	116	111	109	110	65
VOCs	78	71	68	64	62	55
NH_3	117	115	114	113	113	116

EXISTING AIR QUALITY

Nitrogen Oxides

The EPA used a continuous chemiluminescent analyser to determine Nitrogen Oxides (NO_x) concentrations at the Kilkitt station. The results of monitoring from 2003 to 2007 are outlined in Table 1A.3.

Table 1A.3: Results of NO₂ monitoring carried out by the EPA in a representative Zone D site (Kilkitt Co. Monaghan)

Parameter	Statistic	Kilkitt 2003	Kilkitt 2004	Kilkitt 2005	Kilkitt 2006	Kilkitt 2007	AQ Limit ⁽¹⁾
Nitrogen Dioxide (NO ₂)	Annual Mean (µg/m ³)	3	3	2	3	2	40
	Max 1-hour (µg/m ³)	71	43	33	58	82	200
	NO ₂ Values >200µg/m ³	0	0	0	0	0	18
Nitrogen Oxides (NO _x)	Annual Mean (µg/m ³)	3	4	4	4	3	30

Note: (1) Ambient Air Quality Limits specified in S.I. 271 of 2002

Sulphur Dioxide

EPA monitoring from Kilkitt has again been used with reference to SO₂ and the results of this monitoring are outlined in Table 1A.4.

Table 1A.4: Results of SO₂ monitoring carried out by the EPA in a representative Zone D site (Kilkitt Co. Monaghan)

Parameter	Statistic	Kilkitt 2003	Kilkitt 2004	Kilkitt 2005	Kilkitt 2006	Kilkitt 2007	AQ Limit
Sulphur Dioxide (SO ₂)	Annual Mean (µg/m ³)	7	3	3	2	2	20
	Max 1-hour (µg/m ³)	51	35	10	13	18	350
	1-hour Values >350µg/m ³	0	0	0	0	0	24
	24-hour Values >125µg/m ³	0	0	0	0	0	3

Note: (1) Ambient Air Quality Limits specified in S.I. 271 of 2002

Particulate Matter (PM₁₀)

The EPA measured results for PM₁₀ are presented in Table 1A.5 below.

Table 1A.5: Results of PM₁₀ monitoring carried out by the EPA in Castlebar and Kilkitt

Parameter	Statistic	Castlebar 2005	Castlebar 2006	Castlebar 2007 ⁽²⁾	Kilkitt 2006	Kilkitt 2007	AQ Limit
Particulate Matter (SO ₂)	Annual Mean (µg/m ³)	16	16	13	10	10	40
	Max 24-hour (µg/m ³)	61	62	87	47	74	50
	24-hour Values >50µg/m ³	4	2	6	0	2	35

Note: (1) Ambient Air Quality Limits specified in S.I. 271 of 2002

TRAFFIC

Local Traffic - Construction

Construction traffic associated with the proposed pipeline development may have an impact on air quality over and above the existing traffic volumes in the area. In particular, the proposed haul routes employed during deliveries to the site and the sensitive receptors that line these routes may experience the greatest impacts to local air quality. The potential impact of this construction traffic was quantified in this section of the report employing the detailed traffic figures presented in the construction traffic (in Chapter 7 of this EIS).

Background concentrations of traffic pollutants were incorporated into the model and these backgrounds are used to represent the existing air quality in the area. The background concentrations used in this model survey are data taken from the 2006 EPA monitoring data in Kilkitt and similar sites as presented earlier in this report.

The construction traffic predictions focused on the existing network including the main haul routes for the proposed construction period. AADT have been presented for the existing 2009 scenario and the peak month of construction. In addition, the % HGV have also been presented for each link in the network. Traffic speeds have been assumed at 20km/hr for all scenarios to assume worst case.

The results of the predictive air quality modelling using the DMRB model are outlined in Table 1A.6. Air quality modelling was carried out for CO, benzene, hydrocarbons, NO_x and PM₁₀. The DMRB model has the ability to assess average annual concentrations at each specified receptor. The results of the presented in Table 1A.6 indicate the annual average concentrations at any receptor located 10 metres from the road network. All other receptors in the region will experience pollutant concentrations less than the levels indicated in this table.

Table 1A.6: Predicted Annual Averages of Traffic Pollutants, Proposed Corrib Onshore Pipeline (Construction Phase)

Location	Scenario	CO (mg/m ³)	Benzene (µg/m ³)	NO _x (µg/m ³)	NO ₂ (µg/m ³)	PM ₁₀ (µg/m ³)	% Increase in Annual NO ₂	Impact
R313 (east of L1204)	Background	0.20	0.30	3.00	2.00	10.00	-	-
	2009	0.22	0.32	9.68	4.50	10.73	42%	Moderate Adverse
R313 (between L1204 and R314)	Peak Construction	0.23	0.32	15.87	6.38	11.30		
	2009	0.22	0.32	8.07	3.96	10.59	0%	Negligible
R313 (west of R314)	Peak Construction	0.22	0.32	8.07	3.96	10.59		
	2009	0.23	0.33	9.47	4.43	10.79	1%	Negligible
R314 (R313 to L1202)	Peak Construction	0.23	0.33	9.59	4.47	10.81		
	2009	0.21	0.31	4.56	2.67	10.22	1%	Negligible
R314 (L1202 to L5243)	Peak Construction	0.21	0.31	4.68	2.71	10.24		
	2009	0.21	0.31	4.51	2.65	10.19	2%	Negligible
R314 (L5243 to L1204)	Peak Construction	0.21	0.31	4.63	2.69	10.22		
	2009	0.21	0.31	4.29	2.55	10.16	2%	Negligible
R314 (L1204 to L1202)	Peak Construction	0.21	0.31	4.41	2.61	10.19		
	2009	0.21	0.31	4.19	2.52	10.15	116%	Moderate Adverse
R314 (1202 to L1203)	Peak Construction	0.22	0.31	12.67	5.44	10.93		
	2009	0.21	0.31	4.50	2.64	10.19	46%	Moderate Adverse
R314 (east of L1203)	Peak Construction	0.21	0.31	7.76	3.85	10.49		
	2009	0.21	0.31	4.06	2.46	10.14	2%	Negligible
L1204 (R313 to R314)	Peak Construction	0.21	0.31	4.20	2.52	10.16		
	2009	0.21	0.31	4.73	2.73	10.20	107%	Moderate Adverse
L1202 (R314 to Glengad)	Peak Construction	0.21	0.31	13.40	5.66	10.98		
	2009	0.20	0.30	3.37	2.16	10.05	91%	Moderate Adverse
L1203 (R314 to Ross Port)	Peak Construction	0.21	0.31	8.55	4.13	10.52		
	2009	0.21	0.31	4.09	2.47	10.14	51%	Moderate Adverse
(1) Statutory Instrument 271 of 2002 for the protection of human health (2) 8-hour limit (3) Annual limit for the protection of ecosystems	Peak Construction	0.21	0.31	7.37	3.72	10.44		
	Limits	10 ²	5 ¹	30 ³	40 ¹	40 ¹		

The significance criteria for determining the extent of this impact is presented in Table 1A.7 which has been derived by the UK National Society for Clean Air and has been used in Ireland on NRA road schemes.

Table 1A.7: Significance criteria for nitrogen dioxide and particulate matter during construction phase

Magnitude of change	Annual mean NO ₂ and PM ₁₀
Very large	Increase >25%
Large	Increase >15<25%
Medium	Increase >10<15%
Small	Increase >5<10%
Very small	Increase >1<5%
Extremely small	Increase <1%

CARBON LOSSES FROM PEAT DISTURBANCE

The following sections provide an assessment of the carbon losses arising from the construction of the Corrib Onshore Pipeline including the disturbance of peat land. In June 2008, the Scottish Government published a methodology for “Calculating Carbon Savings from Wind Farms on Scottish Peatlands – a new approach”, prepared by the University of Aberdeen. While this methodology is principally aimed at wind farms, it does contain detailed calculations for the quantification of carbon losses from peat disturbance that may be applied to any construction project in peat areas such as the Corrib Onshore Pipeline.

The following aspects of peat disturbance are included in the methodology:

- Loss of Carbon Fixing Potential of Peat Lands.
- Changes in Carbon Stored in Peat Lands – Removed Peat.
- Changes in Carbon Stored in Peat Lands – Drained Peat.
- Loss of Carbon Dioxide due to Leaching of Dissolved and Particulate Organic Carbon.
- Loss of Carbon due to Peatslide.
- Loss of Carbon due to Forestry Clearance.
- Carbon Dioxide Saving due to Improvement of Peat Land Habitat.

The calculations presented in this appendix have been carried out in accordance with the published methodology and associated spreadsheet tool. Total carbon losses for the project are presented as the sum of the above list of sources for the proposed onshore pipeline. Each of the sources of carbon loss are presented below:

Loss of Carbon Fixing Potential of Peat Lands

The Loss of Carbon Fixing Potential (L_{fix}) of Peat Lands is calculated using the following equation:

$$L_{fix} = (A_{direct} + A_{indirect}) \times G_{bog} \times t_{restore}$$

A_{direct} (in hectares) is the total area of peat disturbed during construction. The total area of peat disturbed is calculated as 21.16 hectares including the coniferous forestry on blanket bog (Table 8.1). In designated intact and cut over blanket bog and non-designated intact blanket bog the use of “turves” will

preserve the vegetation on the surface thereby minimising carbon losses from their fixing potential. As such, the figure stated for A_{direct} is considered a worst case scenario.

A_{indirect} (in hectares) is the total area of peat that will be affected by temporary alterations to drainage in the area around the excavations during construction. As lowering the water table and draining the peat (either intentionally or unintentionally) may cause carbon losses, as described in Chapters 5 and 15 of the EIS, construction methods have been developed which will minimise alterations to drainage in the area around excavations. Drainage may be affected in both the areas where turving is used and where it is not so the full area of peat affected is included. As the extent of potential drainage is unknown, the methodology recommends a worst-case extent to drainage of 100m around each area of construction. The worst case total area of peat affected by drainage is calculated as 117.8 hectares.

G_{bog} is a default global average for carbon accumulation in peat lands set at 0.92 tCO₂/ha/yr.

T_{restore} is the time required for habitat restoration. This is assumed to be approximately one year given the use of turves and other procedures to ensure that the surface layer of the peat is preserved.

Based on the above data the Loss of Carbon Fixing Potential (L_{fix}) from the project equates to 128 tCO₂eq.

Changes in Carbon Stored in Peat Lands – Removed Peat

The Loss of Carbon from Removed Peat (L_{removed}) is calculated using the following equation:

$$L_{\text{removed}} = 3.667/100 \times pC_{\text{dry peat}} \times Bd_{\text{dry soil}} \times V_{\text{direct}}$$

$pC_{\text{dry peat}}$ is the carbon content of dry peat (%) given the default value of 55%.

$Bd_{\text{dry soil}}$ is the dry soil bulk density (g/cm³) given the default value of 0.1g/cm³.

V_{direct} is the total volume of peat removed during construction (m³). Approximately 70,000m³ of peat will be removed from the site. The remaining peat will not be removed from site and will be reused in reinstatement.

Based on the above data the Loss of Carbon from Removed Peat (L_{removed}) from the project equates to 13,881 tCO₂eq if 100% of the carbon in the peat was lost to the atmosphere as assumed in the methodology.

The standard calculation in the methodology assumes 100% loss of carbon from the peat which is removed. However, this is not predicted to be the case for the Onshore Pipeline as it is proposed to move the peat to a licensed deposition site for rehabilitation, the preferred site being Srahmore (subject to planning). The site at Srahmore is operated by Bord na Móna who are required under licence from the EPA (Waste Licence Register no 199-1) to restore the bog through a rehabilitation plan (condition 9.3). As such, the removal of peat from the onshore pipeline and subsequent deposition at the Srahmore site is predicted to cause negligible carbon losses (0 tCO₂eq). However, in the event that this peat cannot be restored in Srahmore, the peat will be deposited in a licensed landfill and the full 13,881 tCO₂eq will be the resultant carbon losses.

Changes in Carbon Stored in Peat Lands – Drained Peat

The areas of peat around the proposed pipeline construction temporary working area may suffer carbon losses from loss of moisture through drainage (either planned or unplanned drainage). These losses (L_{indirect}) will be temporary in nature as the trench will be reinstated following pipe-laying and are calculated as follows:

$$L_{\text{indirect}} = L_{\text{drained}} - L_{\text{undrained}}$$

The drained losses are calculated as follows:

$$L_{\text{drained}} = (E_{\text{CH}_4} + E_{\text{CO}_2}) \times A_{\text{indirect}} \times t$$

$E_{\text{CH}_4} + E_{\text{CO}_2}$ are default annual emission factors for methane and carbon dioxide in drained acid bogs (tCO₂/ha/yr) and equate to 0 and 45.709 respectively.

A_{indirect} (in hectares) is the total area of peat that may be temporarily affected by alterations to drainage in the area around the excavations. The total area of peat affected by drainage is calculated as approximately 117.8 hectares as outlined above.

t is the time to restoration (years) which is assumed to be 1 year.

Based on the above data the L_{drained} equates to 5,385 tCO₂eq.

The undrained losses are calculated as follows:

$$L_{\text{undrained}} = (E_{\text{CH}_4} + E_{\text{CO}_2}) \times A_{\text{indirect}} \times t$$

$E_{\text{CH}_4} + E_{\text{CO}_2}$ are default annual emission factors for methane and carbon dioxide (tCO₂/ha/yr) and equate to 0.600 and 23.418 respectively.

A_{indirect} (in hectares) is the total area of peat that will be affected by alterations to drainage in the area around the excavations. The total area of peat affected by drainage is calculated as 117.8 hectares as outlined above.

t is the time to restoration (years) which is assumed to be 1 year.

Based on the above data the $L_{\text{undrained}}$ equates to 2,829 tCO₂eq resulting in a net loss of carbon from indirect drainage (L_{indirect}) of 2,555 tCO₂eq.

Loss of Carbon Dioxide due to Leaching of Dissolved and Particulate Organic Carbon

The losses due to leaching of dissolved and particulate organic carbon (L_{DOC}) are calculated using the procedures applicable to this project as follows:

$$L_{\text{DOC}} = 3.667 \times (P_{\text{DOC} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2} \times P_{\text{DOC}}/100) \times L_{\text{gas}}$$

$P_{\text{DOC} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2}$ (%) is the percentage of leached dissolved organic carbon that is emitted as carbon dioxide. This is set as a default value of 100%.

P_{DOC} (%) is the percentage of total gaseous loss or carbon that is leached as dissolved organic carbon. This is set as a default value of 10%.

L_{gas} (tC) is the sum of gaseous losses of carbon from the different sources in the soil. This includes total CO₂ losses from removed peat and drained peat (2,555 tCO₂ equivalent to 698 tC)

The losses due to leaching of dissolved organic carbon (L_{DOC}) are calculated as 256 tCO₂eq and the losses due to leaching of particulate organic carbon (L_{DOC}) are calculated as 384 tCO₂eq. This totals 640 tCO₂eq due to losses of leaching organic carbon.

Loss of Carbon due to Peatslide

The reference document lists peatslide as a potential source of carbon losses but states that management should carry out all practical measures to ensure that peatslide is considered low risk so a quantification of carbon losses is not included.

The Corrib Onshore Pipeline has been designed to ensure that it will not be at risk from landslides. This has been achieved through route selection and in the case of peat land, by specifying an appropriate construction method which has been modelled and assessed to verify the design. The construction methodology has been set out in Chapter 5 the EIS and stability is discussed in Chapter 15 of the EIS.

Loss of Carbon due to Forestry Clearance

The losses due to forestry clearance (L_{forest}) are calculated using the following calculation:

$$L_{\text{forest}} = A_{\text{forest}} \times G_{\text{forest}} \times t$$

A_{forest} is the area of forest (hectares) to be removed during construction. Approximately 2.5 hectares of forestry will be felled during construction. All of this forestry is coniferous.

G_{forest} is the average carbon sequestered by this tree type per year ($\text{tCO}_2/\text{ha}/\text{yr}$). Given the coniferous nature of the forestry the sequestration rate of Sitka Spruce is applied ($13.2 \text{ tCO}_2/\text{ha}/\text{yr}$).

t is the lifetime of the period where trees cannot be grown on the site. This is assumed to be 1 year to allow for construction as trees may be replanted on the site on all but the 14m permanent wayleave following construction.

Based on the above data the Loss of Carbon from Forestry Clearance (L_{forest}) from the project equates to $33 \text{ tCO}_2\text{eq}$.

The area to be felled is part of a commercial coniferous forest of non native lodgepole pine, owned and managed by Coillte. It is not unreasonable to consider that Coillte's plans for this plantation will more than likely include harvesting of the entire plantation over a period of time.

Carbon Dioxide Saving due to Improvement of Peat Land Habitat

There are no predicted savings in carbon dioxide as a result of works included in this assessment.

REFERENCES

- 1 - "Air Quality Standards Regulations 2002" Statutory Instrument 271 of 2002
- 2 - "European Communities (National Emission Ceilings) Regulations 2004" Statutory Instrument 10 of 2004
- 3 - "Update and Revision of the National Programme for Ireland under Article 6(3) of Directive 2001/81/EC for the progressive reduction of national emissions of transboundary pollutants by 2010", Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government, July 2007
- 4 - "Technical Instructions on Air Quality Control" (TA Luft), German Government, 2002
- 5 - "Calculating Carbon Savings from Wind Farms on Scottish Peatlands – a new approach", Scottish Government 2008